

Examiner's Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2018

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Subsidiary In History (WHI02) Paper 1C Breadth Study with Source Evaluation Russia, 1917- 91: From Lenin to Yeltsin



Edex cel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2018
Publications Code WHI02_1C_pef_20180815
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2018

Introduction

It was pleasing to see a range of well-informed and well-written responses from candidates on IAS Paper WHI02 1C which covers the option Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

It is pleasing to note that in Section A many candidates understood what was meant by 'value' in question 1a) in the context of source analysis this year. However, many still continue to write about limitations to the source and since this is not covered by 'value' and hence not rewarded in the mark scheme, means that candidates disadvantage themselves in terms of the time take to develop such arguments which impacts on the time they have to spend on the rest of the paper. Many candidates also struggle with the concept of 'weight' in question 1b). Candidates need to approach weight by considering the reliability of the source. This can be measured in terms of the trustworthiness of the provenance and/or the accuracy of the content. Hence candidates should explore the strengths and limitations of the source and on then, based upon their judgements ascribe weight to the source. Many candidates use the term 'weight' as interchangeable with 'value' and refer to 'adding 'and 'subtracting weight' throughout their answers. This approach makes it difficult to develop judgements based upon valid criteria and hence reach a final evaluation based on weight. Finally candidates do need to consider the use of contextual knowledge. Most candidates used context to confirm or challenge matters of detail in the source and thus achieved level two. Candidates are advised to use their contextual knowledge to explain and develop inferences which will enable them to focus discussion on what can be gained from the sources and so access the higher levels of the mark scheme.

In Section B, some candidates produced wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis, but more responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and/or the second order concept that was targeted.

It remains important to realise that Section A topics are drawn from highlighted topics on the specification whereas Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important. There was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

Question 1a)

There were some good responses that achieved high Level 2 or beyond. These responses demonstrated an understanding of the source material and an ability to draw and develop inferences from the material using their contextual knowledge to explain inferences as well as expanding on matters in the source. Valid comments were made on the provenance of the source and value explained. Most candidates who failed to reach Level 3, did so because of description of the content of the source rather than using it to draw inferences and establish value. Many did try to use the source as in a (b) question by pointing out its limitations. There was a lot of paraphrasing rather than drawing inferences and quite a lot of misinterpretation of the material relating to Beria and Khrushchev's claim that the new Soviet government needed to 'show ourselves willing to take responsibility' but little additional knowledge that developed the implications of this material. A significant proportion of candidates did write at some length about Stalin's terror system.

Source 1 is valuable to a historian on reasons for ending de terror system established by Stalin under lenschen due to the significance of the provenance · Louscher reflecting and questioning & The legitamacy and legality under station's great terror praides a historian with primary evidence that wen members of the communist elite that succeeded Stalin, questioned to what execut was stalin's terror system enterced in order to sustain communist rule. Moreover, this source would be deemed valuable as it created Uncertainty, it questioned and emphasises that he sheer deadh total under stalin of over 10 million could not be in favor of "reinforcing socialism". Lruschen for her questioning why he arrested were never released or acquitted plays into stating fear of opposition in which he sent 2 million prisoners into Gulago and labor camps and deviced them freedom in foor of opposing Stalins growth in power and influence. It emonpasses de idea mat Stalin Feared may of his enemies would

undernine the appeal of his intuence. As a result to doubt

mat intented permeated knowhere fisher reflects he creation

of station's personal dictatorship that would justify the use of De secret police KBB in order to convict and arrest opposition. Additionally it oftens the source provides historians with an insight as to why be use of terror decreased under Knochen. It is important to note be change in apposition as well as the trum had emerged onrough "invotigations". Wruschens curiosity on he "evidence" and "integrai used during stations time retrected the harm terror of Bein and tagoda's secret police prisoners were retrievely alonged and interograted until a fulse confession was made. Through threat and backmail many political prisoners were forced to concess to crimes they had never committed. This fear tel into line with Stalin's rightesiness, by implementing quotias on the number of accepts and executions, he secret police under Bein would arrest people where essentially, for noting - As a result diragh Kruscher's findings and interrogenias it became evident that in order for the communist to just fig remain in power in order to

communist government in governing de USS Q.

light	on resid	teng attiv	ides and a	ppraceles 10	varda capitalism
which in	esoen× e	nded de ter	ror duat u	as a lly fee	utre of
				٩	

This is a secure level 3 response achieving level 3 in all the bullet points in the mark scheme. It has good contextual knowledge and is effective in drawing inferences. The evaluation is substantiated.

Question 1b)

The best responses were written by candidates who successfully made reasoned inferences, evaluating the weight of the source in relation to the enquiry and using contextual knowledge to illuminate limitations of what could be gained. Most candidates who did not achieve Level 4 failed to do so due to making inferences that were not fully developed or reasoned. Many candidates used accurate contextual knowledge was but this was usually only included to confirm/challenge details rather than going the step further to illuminate what could therefore be gained from the source. Many candidates took the source at face value and/or tried to turn it into an essay on the role of women in the USSR and/or did not read the question closely enough and thereby missed the focus. Some candidates focused on the gaps in the information and used this as their main tool for analysis. It should be noted that sources are not intended to be fully comprehensive, and the more focused answers deal with what the historian could draw from the source as it is presented. Some candidates made good use of the authorship of the source and it implications to develop an evaluation and judgement that was based on valid criteria.

DOCID: 0444000782280

I Source I is valu accurate to a certain extent. into the enquiry of the changes in the Gratus of women. under Lonin. In 1918 the Women's Department. of Zhandal the Balamerles, commonly known as Therdatal, was created to see the increase of the empanicipation of women I under Lenin. This uncluded like Glated in the vonce the "women providing for herself playing pat in work production and. This was heavily implented when the Decree of the lives of Women were published Now women did not have to vely or her husband for income, to in addition the was able to leave the howe before manage and attend workplaces, rocational education inotitues without the insent of her husband. Throughout the ciril war women end of the for Great Har Patoric War, commonly known as the First Nov ld War the was a significant decrease in the number of men working on tradustry the sampleyment order thus a women had to take their place, Down the tone Here they were able to be ensigned and to see how the society differed and social values of women differed. On nature many Again, this is Grated in the voirce of when The direct finacial dependence of the major ity of women and men were firmly established " Indicating that women were to g revive and the support Russia internelly and the Men were able to depend and expand Ausoian externally

However, source & is also not reliable for historians that white enguing on the changes of the Status of women under the reign of herin. Then lodo! the Women's Department in the Bollshia k government, came up against a lot of backfire when women nights were isomeed they blated that this was due to the fact that the party was maledominate and, with Little sympathy towards their female conterpate and well as their age also meant that There was sever appointed due to their press inchilled to prenows generation of the inout sociation values of women. This opposition is not Gated in the same to extent at which it bould have been developed "Some people are Full borne by the old ideas "does not replicate the fact that the resistant majority of the resistance was from the party itself. Also "Realting between the sexus are being transformed" was also a Flatiment for the source that is highly optimation of the water that the deep ren decrees faced. Even when of stated in the law, men and p pollicians and the many other of the male gendle took a significantly large period of time to come to terns that both their canterpare had the come and equal rights to them. In a workers reprobine the attention

situation has charged " was also unrealiable After & a serious of decrees where winsed the p. Bob Weak party had decided to Chut down the Zhandle as they Gladed that the women's Citatus had been revived. This is invorced as we know that women's wage mere btill wo ultimately bong than mens. Also women were more likely to be declined by Industries and thus had to work a agriculture that was orgnificantly of Larbher Noken In conclusion, I believe that this source 2 is reliable to anot extent of that if the horman would like a brief overview on the to changes of Gtatus in women as it was a speech written advarte for the nomen in herin's era therever Kollanti was dicate the topics of change for the empiation of women. However, Kollasti could have simply been peasing the party in fear that the might bose her political voice and blatis in the male dominated party, which had less than 10/2 of women politicians by 1932, if the was thep out of line and probable the back of reform a divelopment, critizing the Bobierles she could initate the nithdraw of onch decrees we see the Glatus of woner dec do underelop.

This is a level 4 response. It draws out a number of valid inferences that re developed by reasoned explanation and explored with contextual knowledge. It does attempt to consider the weight of the source.

Question 2

This was the most popular essay question. The best answers were underpinned by a depth of knowledge on a range of relevant issues relating to Stalin's economic policy and criteria for judgement established according to whether the policy could be judged as a success or failure. Candidates examined the Five Year Plans, collectivisation, the consumer economy and the impact on soviet citizens. At the lower end, some candidates provided wholly descriptive accounts of Stalin's economic policy without consideration of the focus of the question. Many candidates did not take sufficient notice of the time period set in the question and focused only on the 1930s. This restricted their achievement in the levels.

How successful was Station's conomic Policy in the goan (925-73) Stalini economic policy in the years 1928 - 52 were successful to an extent. His economic policy included several 5-year plans which were mostly focused on hoavy industry so reglected consumer goods of addition there was low poocuctivity and high wait. In agriculture he storted collectivisation which resulted in ac initial slump in a food production. Stalin introduced the first 5-year Plan in 1928, it kested until 1932. The aim was to replace the NEP, and rapiclely industricties the soviet economy. Most investment went inte heavy industry 3uch & coal, iron and steer. targe scale Production in those rapidly inchestor, however the ex high targets set by stehin and Glavit were seldomly reached and if they were quality was usually very pear. This was a factor throughout

Statins @ regime and continued on until 1991. The high targets in combination with Staling ecro excess terrer leads to make corruption, such as take numbers and Steeling By rescurces from other tactories. This would suggest that his eccranic policies were not very successful, Henrewer, production Still increased massivery. This was not the fact for consumer goods, which were merty neglected in all of station fire your Plans. This caused regentment among the workers as there was very little material Levesto te morking hard unich intern neighbor and in abseciation and poor low productivity. Showing the disadvantages and flows of Staling consider policies. The 3rd and \$ 2nd and 3rd 5 year Place wave very similar to the First. They also to cused on havy industry. Hewever they were more successful as Glavit glowers Er mone redistic yot still high targets As an incentive there were model workers which should that if you work hard you would get befrer housing, better retions and some social

benefits This worked to an extent however living standarth were soil still orthograpy low Compared to mestern contrice This was mostly due to a lack of material benefits and poor housing and mostine bood shorteage. Host workers linear in huge camps near vig factorie factory composes and having was often overgrowed and in very poor conditions. The thand 5th 4th and 5th 5 year Plan came after world war 2, they focused on reconstructing the soviet aconomy as it was developed after the war. Production was hearly as low as in 19180, there also Staline Economic policier Show sercess in inchary the heavy industry but completely negleofing the consumer goods. Stations economic Policies in inclustry were to an extent succentul however they look to much corruption low worker productivity and four quality goods.

Stains policies on agriculture also Showed some successes but marty failures. He Tutroduced collectivisation in 1928. This Started out Slow as many of the termer west would lose their money and power. Kulaice were sveressful former that profited from the mixed economy of the VEP. linkary callethication realited in a huge Slump in livestock and grain because the Rulace burned the grain and killed the finally livestock instead of giving it Up. This resulted in a massive family that killed unillion per 8 exposor especially in the Ukraine, Hence again Stains economic reforms in agriculture were not very successful. However, he did manage to adjectivise goi. of the form Ly mid 1930's, which was a luge SUCCESI. The collective were extremely labour interine due to a lack of tracter and other macheries. This was made horder may many men left the country since to work in the cities, hence women had to pull plash. This made agriculture very inefficient, because it was worden harden

to & form larger farme manually than

smallers. This all shows that Staling economic

policies were not very successful in the

years 1928-53. Because despite the retorn

agricultural production only increased sligtly
in the 1930's and more was neverable

to feed eatine Russian population. The

mayority of the grain boot to be imported.

There were also very little incentions

de to work have in agriculture as farms

aget the least food Rations were distributed

according to rank, meaning that was he

went to party members. And wages never

execused those of tactory workers.

In conclusion, status from the years 1928 -53 showed some success in industry and did to a large extent industricing the societ economy. However, (ivinestandard) remained extremely Ion, due to innited canomer gods, poor having, strict rations, famine and little to no social vertition. Thenfere, the historical can argue that steam's economic policy was only successful to a small extent.

* Agriculture were very recovered from

This is a level 4 response. It examines both industrial and agricultural policy and covers the time period. The knowledge is well developed and is focused on addressing the question. Clear criteria for judgement are established and its conclusion is supported.

Question 3

This was the least popular question with a much smaller number of answers compared to Questions 2 and 4. Although some candidates were well informed on Stalin and Khrushchev's religious policies, many struggled to focus on the second order concept — similarity and difference and tended to produce descriptive responses which treated each leader individually. This restricted their achievement in the levels.

Under the Soviet rule, religion tended to be suppressed in order to take easier control of the people. As key! Many once stated " Religion is the opium of the masses." Stellin closely followed this idealogy and wanted everyone in the Somet Union to be atherst. This continued throughout the unde Soviet leadership, although there were some differences between leaders' powers and approaches towards religion For instance, some of those differences san be observed between Stain's paicres and those of Khrushchev's For instance, stain closed down the Churches within the usso and turned them into storages for grain coming from collectivised forms so that they wouldn't be running out of space. He need no tolerance towards any activity that was held in a Church and strongly frouned upon people who went to Churches on surdays to pray, By dosing down Churches, he also did not allow any religious clothing to be worn in public respecially priests was walked around weaving ther ropes. This was quite similar under Khrushchey, we closed down any Churchect that remained open and made sure that there were absolutely no reugious sermantes held. Maritage ceremonied were done as a chili ceremony rather than a religious one.

Another similarity can be observed in education Stain chan ged the curriculums completely and based them on solely an markist and communist ideology with that, he also underuned the importance of being athest and pushed everyone to kave their revigion behind. Staling tended to see helfgion 25 2 distriction and he was against any sorts of distraction within the usse borders as in schools, teachers mainby taught young students to be very hard -working and respectful towards their country. By excluding religion from the schools, Staun was able to ensure that the new generation would grow up with the love of others streism. than Even mough lahrush they all retain a couple of things in the education system, the curriculum remained untouched, maintening the education the same very station pursued it his whole reign One last similarity can be seen in the treatment of other religraus graups...

the majority of the country. He made sure to extend his parcres to
reagious groups such as Muslims and sous as well with the
extended religious poucy, both religious groups were unable to
do pilgrimages to their holy sites. Muslims were also benneed
from wearing religious clothing. This meant that muslim women
were unable to wear a read-scarp while the muslims could
not go to meace to pray once a year, the jews were also
Also, their synagouses were shut
not able to leave this country. down so they couldn't paincipate in
any religious activity.

By extending his powers this for, Stolin proves that he is very careful towards his approach to people. Muslims and Jewa comblood did not even make up 10% of the population but were still targeted due to stain's tobailtain views. Once again, the tageting of minority groups remained stable under Khrushenev's regime, though it is particularly stated that he torgeted Islamic groups within the USSR more than the lews. This may be caused by the fact that the jewish people made up only 2% of the water population. Although these facts shows show us that the policies of these two leoders are significantly similar, there are incread some differences in yews that should not be ignored. Under Stain, after the Churches were closed down, they were reopened during the era of the second World War. This was in order to get support against the Nazis. - 414 Churches in total were reopened egan, in exchange that mose people who go to the Church support the war effort and conduct propaganda against the rivals in the war. This approaon from Stalin turned out to be very moderate and was seen as some allowance of freedom in the society - of course, that came with a price. As soon as lightly came into power after stalin, he closed down every single Church that was reopened during the Second World War.

Anoth moreover, Stain's informals use of Terror was zito extended to religion. After banning any religious activity, most of the priests went underground and maintained their ac-

tivities there, however stain used his secret police to locate anyone uno was illegally participating in religion, especially priests. In table, about 10,000 prests in total were sent to gulogs for either apposing stoup's rule or porticipating in any kind of ritual. Under khrushonev, use of violence was not seen as something that could possibly a solve an issue so there has not been any records of violence Eganst religious people of ter stalin's rule. In conclusion, we could say that both stain and Khrushcher's powers targeted the same spals in terms of religion and were therefore significantly smiller One reason for that , I believe, is that Khrushchev inherited Stalin's rule and it was up to him, which paucy to dismiss and which one to keep It is therefore very logical that Whrushchev maintained almost all policies of Stalin In this area since they approached the case very smilerly except from using violence and terior to asser power, which knowshorey was strictly against to

This is a high level 3 response. It draws out some similarities e.g. the closure of churches and the targeting of a range of religious groups as well as differences including Stalin's decision to reopen the churches during the war. The analysis however is under-developed and criteria for judgement not established and hence this does not enter level 4.

Question 4

This was a popular question. The best responses explored the impact of Gorbachev's reforms and contrasted this with alternative factors such as the long term stagnation of the economy, the rise of Boris Yeltsin and the collapses of communist regimes in Eastern Europe and used this as the basis for establishing the most significant factor in weakening Communist government. Some candidates lost focus on the question and examined the reasons for Gorbachev's fall and this did impact on their achievement in the levels. Lower scoring responses tended to describe events during this time period rather than debate significance.

Gerbachen reforme:

Salasnor economic reform:

Pere Grikan political restricting reform

happened at the same time:

AUSO:

August Comp. 1990 ~ weakness within purty

Veletin ~ OD Plating—that laws passed in Austran

(ongress greater than Glatus than those passed by USSR.

constant rivally.

Restrant to sp neform, and of Jr. Show Dochine.

= nationitism in Easten European Countries.

(Confusion: Romina reasons the merion Nabonska.

(Int poland + Gecho Ghori lie or Gorbacher's cosen)

Community gar dieter had to wait for Gorbacher's cosen)

It is accurate to a cutain extent to Glate impad's of Garbacher's reform was the contributing to the weakening of the gear < 1962-91 government in the USSR in the Obere Were vother factors. Once in power Gorbacher was a Garbacher was one of the leaders of the USSR that had made his way up the on stem. There when finally reaching the 6 top ho understood charge was reeded economically and potrically inorder for the commu USSR to survive This repulled in the of Perestorika, known as the political & restauring reform of the communica party, which was accomparaed by Glasnot, which was essentially economic reform. This was to be seen as one of the most choosis and go unblabily periods of the USSK government after the power abough a Levin This saw modern weakness in the gourment as it was filled with politicions that were part of Breghren's Gtaggetion period that completely resided the idea would effect their poistion the party. In addition the aledening in the party were unliketable to litter to the initative of the young protocione that had entered the party recently as they

felt as if they is where more superior and had hove experience. The pothical reform and economic reparms That where happening at the same Time where were a unenccusful as it let potocal voice such as those from Veltoin, to or have a platform to continue Gorbacher's reforms and allow many other to supposed onch configirm. This contributed Glas not, like seen in Communes? China at the time who have been onccessful in Rustia if that was the only policial reform that was to be occurring In addition, Gorbacher had no real long term of lution for the economic and political chois that They ahead of him This resulted in him liGlening to other members of the community party, to protraying an image to his policial reals that and public that he was not incapable of dealing at the with the table contributed to the weakening of the Communis? government as the political ortrage and resistent The Corbacher had faced within his own pary had merely chipped away the for un consildated coment that would have placed to conclidate the orace contining oncess of the USSK in the near fiture If Gorbacher's reports had been passed implimentated successfully then there would have been a nee in the hing Randarde Hat van & which have probably decreased the need for many Eastern Evopean construs

to have a constant outing to nationalism

However there were other factors which contributed the wealing of the communish government that were possibly to the same organificance of the Ha Golbacher's reforms.

of Gosbacher's main apposition When implementing reforms and Rating ideas to the the party leaders was Hoto Bois Velotin. De Affer de resigning from the Communist party in May he was able to recorperate and gain access to a mi visit industries and was work sites are to a gan support for his aprinions. - that would consequently or horize Gorbacher's everymore. To the public, it showed that even their within the pass already countling party there was neristana the aarbacher's ways. This possibly indicated that to many that to it Golbacher Charled have taken the hist, that when your ownpory goe against you reforme it would be time to reorga However Gorbacher prolonged this us with the August Coup of 199/ which caused many Russian's to be a fear of terror and confroion, that would see there own a my attack there. After warming the oncered gain vols from the people 'Yelstin was able to neturn to power when he became the Mayor of Moscon and then the pury leader or the

Anti- Communist Group and head of the Russain congress his political vorce and entrym to now spread through both the protie and party. As head of the Rusman congress he stated that the laws passed in Rusman governments were to be more successful to be of more again france to laws that were placed by the community USUR government This o was of the factor which was expressioned contributed to the organificant wealing of the Communal government as many of the Eastern European construction felt on if there were beening neglicited and capso they Or their nesources with many in those in Russian hing a better life than themselves. In addition the many. change & power om flog power believed that the change and Ghip in pares can that it had not been Glated by Gorbacher, withmately percieved that Gorbacher no longer had the post ear of the people and postocione and due to the unshowspul reforms of Perertens and Glashot. he was able to It be walked across inth he nevivals dicating the foreign and internal laws 6+ Ruscian The August Corp A 1990 was a factor which contributed To the weakening of the Communist government in the USER as it agains protrayed that Gorbacher was titte very unfavourable. It considered of y overor of taking control of the USSR while I Gobacher

was 'ouppositify all however, was under home arrest. Hot Yaner Stated that he would the USSR, Russian included in there tough periode of and tability However what was to confuse both the profit and poly yours was frombacker's more to condense the cap and Plate that Gorbacher man was the return to power. Veletin had always been a set a componet of the opposition that Gorbacher faced throught his period as both General Sectarry and President of the USSR. They like seen in the reality today, when formal of onvale & supporte you in a penis of of carrie it mist most whely indicates that sall hope & has been both in both the USSR and Rustian government. this contributing to the weakness of the wealering of the communist government in the USSR during during the years 1982-91 Are The ending of the Breghrer Dodrine futher or contributed to weatering of the Community goesnment in the USSR. ohing the years 1982 - 91. The Brezherer Doctine Glated that in times of which the commund run countries of Eastern Ewope. wer in political unblability, with the threat of the gepordizing the Communit rule neighboring community parts Charled intervene on behalve of this At Malerka. finerals Gorbacher had Grated his per policy of ending

at the Doctrine as to hope that there would be & bette control of economy if each of 15 Flates more hour able to have the influence to diktat reforms, opending and proprits. This lead to attend serous of outbreaks which consequently wan The wealing and collapse of the comments government in the USSR. In polos Poland, the Leeding solidanty figure Lachward, yourd the political government due to ending of Article 6 which saw the the ending of of & the communist party being the only party in the USSR Latch Walces saw this approximity to order get the major over 65% of the polish to agree to remove the commune of from power and school cause be be a become nationalised there the communit lost to a land hade victory which saw the Poles being free of communist interventation. This had a knoch on effection Czecho Clarkia, where Vacel Havel was the politician that had & anti-communica beliefs por becoming The tonder of the USOR president - This saw no intunedon of the comming t government that that cating it weatoness as it too due to the ending of the Brephner Dodine, Hovever this was not be the case in Armenia and Romania where the communist government felt that they had to intervene due to the of violent reproce against commune. This of grapicantly constituted the weatering of the

Communist government in the USS & during the years 1982-91 as they no longer had control or the Eastern European countries that were in there ophere of influence, to addition the due to abandonat of both Afficle 6 and the greywar Dootring In addition, there was also compares in the.

Communist government also wealened it due to the the interprences in the Affairs of Armenia and Romana however not in Poland and Ezechdovilua. Thus the government to did not actually have a say in their actions inclead they had to wait for authorighton and approval for his backer, this represented weakness in the Communist government of the USSR.

In conclusion I believe that Gobacher's reforme.

and the end of the Breggerer Doctrine and
abadonness of affice 6 saw the a weether

most organificant factors which contributed to

wealing of the communist government in

the USSR of this was because they were at all

back to back 'extraorophics which had organificantly

effected the government of the Afrons had been

successful the Bregger Doctrine would have

not intiated nationalization of the Eastern European

Countries Inaddition tension on further works.

with the role of Yeldin which conti wordly months, underwinded and citized Gorbacler and every possible oppurantly. This cultimately can the collapse of the weeking of the Community was chipping away at the possible fondation of what could have the mood ancestre penal of the Usal busine tead resulted in it's fragility and ensequently it's collapse

This is a level 4 response. It examines long term stagnation, the impact of Glasnost and the end of the Brezhnev Doctrine and the decline of Communism in Russia and Eastern Europe. It establishes valid criteria and reaches a supported judgement.

Based on the performance of this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

Section A

- Make sure you are aware of the topics highlighted for the source question and have prepared for them
- A careful reading of the sources is needed so that the issues raised are clearly identified
- You must ensure that you draw out inferences, but these should always be directly linked to the source and not driven by contextual knowledge
- You should consider the nature, origin and purpose of the source
- Do not merely restate what the provenance says think about how it can be used to address the question. In a, this requires a consideration of how it adds value and in b, this requires considering value and limitations
- Do not deal with the 'bullet points' separately value and weight are established by a more holistic approach that uses context and consideration of provenance to evaluate the source
- Contextual knowledge should be used to support the answer, not to drive it, and should be made relevant to the enquiry
- Question 1a does not require a consideration of the limitations of sources
- It is unlikely that weight can be assessed by listing all the things that a source does not deal with.

Section B

- Spending a few minutes planning helps to ensure the second order concept is correctly identified
- Candidates must provide more precise contextual knowledge as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should avoid a narrative/descriptive approach; this undermines the analysis that is required for the higher levels
- Candidates need to be aware of key dates as identified in the specification so that they can address the questions with chronological precision
- Essay questions are set over a period of at least ten years; candidates need to address the whole time period set in the question
- Candidates should try to explore the links between issues in order to make the structure of the response flow more logically and to enable the integration of analysis.



https://xtremepape.rs/